

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL



Dennis W. Guard Associate Counsel

1133 Nineteenth Street, NW Washington. OC 20036 2027366148 Fax 202 736 6359

RECEIVED

JAN 1 6 2003

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

January 16,2003

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: In re Applications of WorldCom, Inc. for Consent to Assign Licenses WorldCom Opposition to UCC's Petition for Partial Reconsideration and Clarification

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Please find enclosed an original and four (4) copies of WorldCom's Opposition to UCC's Petition for Partial Reconsideration and Clarification. Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions. Thank you.

nicerely.

Dennis W. Guard

Attachment

cc: Gregg P. Skall, Womble Carlyle Sandridge Rice, PL

No. of Copies rec'd 0 1/3
List ABODE

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

JAN 1 6 2003

		PEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
In re Applications of)	
WorldCom, Inc. and its Subsidiaries, Assignor)	
AND)	WC Docket No. 02-215
WorldCom, Inc. and its Subsidiaries As Debtor in Possession, Assignee)	
For Consent to Assign Commission Licenses)))	

OPPOSITION OF WORLDCOM, INC. TO UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST, INC. PETITION FOR PARTIAL RECONSIDERATION AND CLARIFICATION

Pursuant to sections 1.106(g) and 1.4(h) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations,' WorldCom, Inc. ("WorldCom") hereby submits its Opposition to the Petition for Partial Reconsideration and Clarification ("Petition") filed by United Church of Christ, Inc. ("UCC") on January 3,2003.

I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

On July 21,2002, WorldCom and substantially all of its active U.S. subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy

.

¹ 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.106(g), 1.4(h).

Code with the Bankruptcy Court in the Southern District of New York.² As a result of WorldCom's Chapter 11 filing, its legal status changed to that of "debtor-in-possession." Consequently, WorldCom filed applications and notifications pursuant to sections 214 and 310(d) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("Act")³ seeking the Commission's approval of the involuntary *proforma* assignment of the licenses and authorizations held by WorldCom and its subsidiaries to WorldCom and those subsidiaries as debtors-in-possession.

Subsequently, on October 15, 2002, UCC filed an "informal objection" to WorldCom's applications.⁴ Thereafter, on December 5,2002, the Commission issued a Public Notice whereby it granted WorldCom's request and denied UCC's informal objection. The Commission cited three separate reasons for denying UCC's objection:

The assignment before us results merely in a change in the status in which the licensee holds its licenses - from WorldCom to WorldCom as Debtor-in-Possession. UCC acknowledges that 'the proposed transfer is but a mere formality – a shuffling of papers – that involves no real change of control or responsibility.' addition, as the licensee is receiving no compensation as a result of the assignment, no deterrence interest would be served by denying the application. Also, the public will not be prejudiced by the change in status of the licensee. For these three reasons, this assignment application does not raise public interest concerns warranting a hearing.⁵

In response, UCC has filed its pleading, styled as a "petition for partial reconsideration or clarification," asking the Commission to adopt a supplemental order indicating it will

² In re WorldcornInc., docketed under case # 02-13533. ³ **47 U.S.C.** §§ 214, 310(d).

⁴ See Informal Objection to Assignment Applications, filed by the Office & Communication of the United Church & Christ, Inc. (Oct. 15, 2002) ("UCC Informal Objection").

⁵ Public Notice, DA 02-3350, at 1 (rel. Dec. 5,2002) ("December **5** Public Notice").

address the character issues raised in the Informal Objection "at the next procedurally available opportunity..."

II. THE COMMISSION SHOULD REJECT UCC'S PETITION

The Commission should deny UCC's instant Petition for "Partial Reconsideration or Clarification." First and foremost, UCC's filing states nothing new beyond what was raised in the original Informal Objection, which in turn was denied by the Commission on multiple dispositive grounds. The Commission has long recognized that "[a] petition for reconsideration that simply reiterates arguments previously considered and rejected will be denied." Indeed, the Commission has previously found that "[r]econsideration is appropriate only where the petitioner either shows a material error or omission in the original order or raises additional facts not known or not existing until after the petitioner's last opportunity to present such matters." UCC's Petition on its face does not even attempt to make such a showing of new facts or changed circumstances. The simple fact is that UCC's arguments were previously considered and disposed of by the Commission in the Public Notice. And, notwithstanding UCC's Informal Objection, the Commission concluded that "grant of... [WorldCom's] applications will serve the public interest, convenience and necessity."

In addition, it is entirely unclear whether UCC's pleading even presents a facially plausible case for reconsideration or clarification. In asking the Commission to

3

⁶ UCC Petition at 1.

⁷ In re Applications & Various Subsidiaries and Affiliates & Geotek Communications, Inc., Debtor-in-Possession, Assignors, And, Wilmington Trust Company or Hughs Electronics Corporation, Assignees, And, FCI 900, Inc., Assignee, for Consent to Assignment & 900 MHz Specialized Mobile Radio Licenses, File Nos. 911830, 911831, 911832, 911833, DA 01-51, 16 FCC Rcd. 706, para. 6 (rel. Jan. 9,2001).

8 Id

⁹ *December 5 Public Notice* at 1.

"reconsider" its December 5th ruling, UCC's Petition appears to *accept* the substance of the Commission's reasoning and ultimate outcome. In fact, the petition expressly states – in accordance with the Commission's own conclusion – that the "Informal Objection did not present the Commission an appropriate procedural context in which to review the potentially disqualifying character issues."" Thus, even in UCC's view, the FCC's decision to grant WorldCom's *proforma* assignments over UCC's objections was entirely proper. In sum, it appears that the Commission has nothing to "reconsider" here.

Further, UCC's assertion that the Commission must consider, *suasponte*, "disqualifying allegations such as those raised by UCC against WorldCom" at the "next appropriate opportunity" is hardly compelling." *Allegan*, ¹² the lead case UCC cites for this proposition, lends little support. In *Allegan*, the Commission addressed the narrow issue of whether character qualifications of a *withdrawing* applicant should be considered in the context of settlement agreements for mutually exclusive broadcast applications. In the instant case, however, no settlement agreements are at issue, and the Commission has no applications "dismissed with prejudice" to consider. In any event, the *Allegan* holding certainly does not stand for the proposition that **the** Commission must *suasponte* "deal with the disqualifying character issues raised against WorldCom" before it "takes action on any future non-ministerial application or request for authorization filed by Worldcorn..."

_

 $^{^{10}}$ UCC Petition at 2.

¹¹ *Id.* at 3.

¹² Allegan County Broadcasters, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, BC Docket No. 20864, 83 FCC 2d 371 (rel. Dec. 12, 1980)("Allegan").

¹³ UCC Petition at 3.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Accordingly, for the above-stated reasons, WorldCom respectfully requests that UCC's petition be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

WorldCom, Inc.

Dennis W. Guard Richard S. Whitt 1133 19th Street, NW

1133 19th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 736-6148

Dated: January 16,2003